Type of Interview:		
⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference		
	pplicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	ppinearro representante)	
If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed:		
N/A		
Prior art documents discussed:		
N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
A telephone call was made to John Mattingly on 24 May 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but		
did not result in an election being made.		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	n. The examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
		• • • •

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

**Examiner Initiated Interview Summary** 

Paper No. 20030525